## PATENT COOPERATION TREALY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY			RECEIVED		
To: KELLY A. GARDNER SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.,		PCT	JUH 27 2001			
THE STATE OF GEORGIA 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY	•		WRITTEN OPINION	CCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. LEGA: DEPARTMENT		
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044		0	(PCT Rule 66)			
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	2 2 JUN 2001			
Applicant's or agent's file reference F-6591-PC	REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing					
International application No.	International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/)	year)		
PCT/US00/15963	09 JUNE 2000	_	11 JUNE 1999			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): HO4N 5/445, 7/173; GO6F 3/00, 13/00 and US Cl.: 725/86, 87, 44, 45, 46, 47, 38, 39					
Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC., A C	ORPORATION OF TH	E STATE OF GEOR	GIA			
1. This written opinion is the first	(first, etc.)	drawn by this Interna	tional Preliminary Examin	ning Authority.		
2. This opinion contains indications rel	lating to the following it	ems:				
I X Basis of the opinion						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive ste	p or industrial applicabilit	у		
IV Lack of unity of inve	ention					
	Passoned statement under Rule 66 2(a)(ii) with regard to povelty, inventive step or industrial applicability.					
VI Certain documents cit	ted					
VII Certain defects in the	VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations	Certain observations on the international application					
3. The applicant is hereby invited to re	icant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.					
	1? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d).					
	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.					
For the examiner's of For an informal com	For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.  reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.					
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 11 OCTOBER 2001						
	_		<u> </u>			

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231

Pacsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

VIVEK SRIVASTA

Telephone No.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (July 1998) \*

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/15963

I.	Ba	asis of the op	oinion					
1.	With	regard to the	elements of the internation	onal applicatio	n:*			
	Y		onal application as o					
		the descript						
	X	pages	1-37				, as origina	illy filed
		pages	NONE				, filed with the	
		pages	NONE		, filed wit	h the letter of _		
					- ,			
	X	the claims:						
		pages	38-40	_			, as origin	•
		pages	NONE		, as amend		h any statement) under A	
		pages	NONE	C1 1	4 4 1 4		, filed with the	demand
		pages	NONE	, filed wi	th the letter	of		
	v	the drawing	s:					
	Δ	pages	1-34				, as origina	lly filed
		pages	NONE				, filed with the	demand
		pages	NONE		, filed with	the letter of	, ,	
	X	the sequence	listing part of the des	scription:				
	_	pages	NONE				, as origina	lly filed
		pages	NONE				, filed with the	demand
		pages	NONE		, filed with	the letter of		
2	With	regard to the I	anguage all the elemen	nts marked alv	ove were avail	able or firmished to	this Authority in the langua	age in which
۷.	the:	international a	oplication was filed, un	less otherwise	indicated und	ler this item.	•	-
	The	se elements we	re available or furnishe	d to this Auth	ority in the fol	lowing language _		_ which is:
		the language	of a translation furn	ished for the	e purposes o	f international sea	arch (under Rule 23.1(b)	)).
		the language	of publication of the	e internation	al applicatio	n (under Rule 48	3.3/b)).	
	=		_				ary examination (under Rul	lan 55.2 and/
		or 55.3).	of the translation fulfills	neu ioi uie p	urposes of fin	madonai piemimia	ary examination (under Kui	es 33.2 and
		,						
3.				-	uence disclose	ed in the internation	nal application, the written of	pinion was
	arav	vn on the basi	s of the sequence listin	g:				
	Ш	contained in	the international app	olication in p	orinted form.			
	$\neg$	filed together	r with the internation	nal application	on in comput	er readable form.		
	=				-			
	_		sequently to this Au	•				
			sequently to this Au		-			
		The statement	t that the subsequently	y furnished w	vritten sequen	ce listing does not	t go beyond the disclosur	e in the
		The statement been furnished	that the information re !.	corded in cor	mputer readab	le form is identical	I to the writen sequence lis	ting has
		The emende	ents have resulted in	the sensell	ation of			
4.	X	$\overline{}$			ation of.			
		X the des	cription, pages	NONE				
		X the cla	ims, Nos.	NONE				
			wings, sheets <del>/fig</del>	NONE				
5.	П				mendments ha	d not been made si	ince they have been consid	ered to go
	ш	-	isclosure as filed, as inc			•	•	oron to go
		-						
	-		-	ed to the recei	ving Office in i	response to an invita	ution under Article 14 are	referred to
	in thi.	s opinion as "o	riginally filed".					

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US00/15963

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial a	pplicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement	

1.	statement		•	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1-11	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1-11	NO
		GL:	1.11	VEC
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-11 NONE	YES
		Claims	HOME	NO

## 2. citations and explanations

Claims 1, 2 and 5-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Gerace because Gerace discloses the claimed method for an interactive media services system to provide media to a user through an interactive media services client device.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 Gerace discloses the claimed method for an interactive media services system to provide media to a user through an interactive media services client device comprising the steps of implementing an interactive media guide, implementing client device and services system, providing a system operator, providing control options and providing ability to keep all data referenced by plurality of catalogs in central database as claimed (fig. 2, fig. 3a, col 4 lines 12-47, col. 6 lines 13-32. col. 10 lines 1-39. col. 22 lines 6-53).

Regarding claim 2, Gerace discloses the claimed implementing a broadcast file server and implementing the broadcast file server to store a plurality of catalogs to be provided to the interactive media services system (fig. 2, col 4 lines 11-67, col. 5 lines 1-53, col. 8 lines 13-46, col. 10 lines 12-22).

Regarding claim 7, Gerace discloses wherein distribution procedure dictates a client device of plurality of client devices tune to specific channel based on a customer variable, specific channel allowing interactive media guide to implement appropriate one of plurality of catalogs (fig. 2, col 4 lines 11-67, col. 5 lines 1-53, col. 8 lines 13-46, col. 10 lines 12-22).

Regarding claim 8, Gerace discloses customer variable comprises demographic association (col 2 lines 30-48).

Regarding claim 9, Gerace discloses the claimed distribution procedure dictates a client device of a plurality of client devices requests a catalog file associated with a customer variable from the interactive media services system and is subsequently given the corresponding one of plurality of catalogs for implementation (fig. 2, col. 4 lines 12-47, col. 6 lines 13-44, col. 8 lines 13-32, col. 10 lines 1-39, col. 22 lines 6-53).

Regarding claim 11, Gerace discloses wherein the central database enables a multi-sort function resulting from a title of media being referenced in a plurality of instances within one of plurality of catalogs (fig. 2, col. 4 lines 12-47, col. 6 lines (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)